

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,655	02/03/2004	Jedidia Lubbers	ORCL-2002-209-01	3532
WAGNER MI	7590 02/13/2007 JRABITO & HAO LLP		EXAM	INER
Third Floor			MAHMOUDI, HASSAN	
Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
oun rose, or r		2165		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/771,655	LUBBERS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tony Mahmoudi	2165					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 No.	ovember 2006.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-21</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0) [_] Oulet						

Application/Control Number: 10/771,655

Art Unit: 2165

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

In response to communications filed on 16-November-2006, claims 1 and 2 are amended per applicant's request. Claims 1-21 are presently pending in the application, of which, claims 1, 6 and 13 are presented in independent form.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1 and 2 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, directed towards an abstract idea.

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or

tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Claims 1 and 2 represent an abstract idea that does not provide a practical application in the technological arts. The claims do not appear to correspond to a specific machine or manufacturing process disclosed within the specification and thus encompasses any product of the class configured in any manner to perform the underlying process. Consequently, the claims are analyzed based upon the underlying process, and are thus rejected as being directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sun Microsystems Inc., JavaHelp System User's Guide, June 2002 1-97 and referred to as <u>JavaHelp</u> hereinafter.

As to claim 1, <u>JavaHelp</u> teaches a helpset build method comprising:

preparing helpset information for building (i.e., HTML files) (page 35); building helpset files (i.e., building helpset jar files recursively) (page 47) and subhelpset files in an automatic recursive manner (see "subhelpset location of file" on page 42; see "subhelpset IMAGE" on page 43; see Merging Helpsets on pages 95-97); and reporting helpset status related information (page 52).

As to claim 2, <u>JavaHelp</u> teaches parsing gathered files; and identifying related helpsets (page 94).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 6-21 are allowed over the prior art made of record.
- 7. As previously stated, claim 3-5 remain objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 16-November-2006 with respect to the rejected claims in view of the cited references have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive:

Application/Control Number: 10/771,655

Art Unit: 2165

The Applicant's arguments regarding the rejection of claims 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. 101 have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive. The rejection is therefore, maintained by the Examiner.

Remarks and arguments regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 for claim 1 are fully considered but are not deemed persuasive. The Applicant is directed to the remarks and discussions provided above in the rejection of claim 1 with respect to the newly added element of, "building subhelpset automatically and recursively" (see "subhelpset location of file" on page 42; see "subhelpset IMAGE" on page 43; see Merging Helpsets on pages 95-97.)

Applicant's remarks regarding rejected claim 2 have been considered but are not deemed persuasive in view of the remarks and discussions presented above for claim 1.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until

Application/Control Number: 10/771,655

Art Unit: 2165

after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to

37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of

this final action.

10. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Tony Mahmoudi whose telephone number is (571) 272-4078. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 08:00 am to 04:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin, can be reached at (571) 272-4146.

tm

January 29, 2007

JEFFREY GAFFIX

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100